

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 8095 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION :- December 01, 2020

Amritpal Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Rahul Vijay Singh Chugh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. J.P. Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jagga Singh states that both the petitioners had got their statements recorded with the police that they do not apprehend danger to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents and they do not require any police protection. They had further stated before the police that if they apprehend any danger to their lives and liberty in future then they would submit application in that regard to the police. Copies of statements dated 19.10.2020 by Amritpal Kaur-petitioner No. 1 and Amandeep Singh-petitioner No. 2 have been placed on record as Annexure R-1/T and Annexure R-2/T. Further more, their affidavits have also been annexed as Annexure R-3/T and Annexure R-4/T respectively. Statements of Neelam Kumari and Mantaz respondents to the effect that they and their family members have no objection to the marriage between the petitioners and they would not interfere in their

married life by giving threats etc. are also there.

Under the circumstances, no cause of action survives to the petitioners and petition stands dismissed accordingly being infructuous.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

December 01, 2020
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No