

Ombir Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Paramjit Phor, Advocate,

for the complainant/respondent no.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.470, dated 28.07.2014, registered at Police Station Sector 10, District Gurugram, alleging therein the commission of the offences punishable under Sections 323, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 and 34 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise (Annexure P-2), arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no.2

Pursuant to the order of this Court dated 08.10.2020, the report of the learned JMIC, Gurugram, dated 09.12.2020, is on record, stating to the effect that the complainant in the FIR, i.e. respondent no.2 Manisha (the wife of petitioner no.1), appeared before that court alongwith the petitioners with all of them getting recorded their statements in respect of the compromise reached between them, thereby affirming it.

As per the report the assessment of that court, the compromise is seen to have been arrived at between the parties amicably and voluntarily, without any undue influence, pressure or coercion.

That being so, looking at the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners and the fact that the matter has been compromised between the petitioners and the complainant, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.470, dated 28.07.2014, registered at Police Station Sector 10, District Gurugram, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 and 34 of the IPC, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

December 17, 2020

dharamvir/

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes

Whether reportable: : No