

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-1870-CWP of 2020 in/and
CWP-12965 of 2017
Date of decision: 04.02.2020

Ramesh Chand Gulati

.... Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

CM-1870-CWP of 2020

This is an application for placing on record counter affidavit of
the petitioner.

Application is allowed.

Affidavit is taken on record.

CWP-12965 of 2017

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by
the petitioner is that all the benefits, for which the petitioner is entitled after
his promotion as MMG-III under the provisions of the UCO Bank (Officers)
Service Regulations have not been released to him. Prayer of the petitioner
is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to release the same

alongwith arrears forthwith.

On the last date of hearing, following order was passed:-

“CM-4632-CWP-2019

Application under Section 151 CPC has been filed for placing on record the counter affidavit of the petitioner.

Notice in the application. Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate for the respondents accepts notice.

Accordingly, the application is allowed. The counter affidavit is taken on record. Office to append the same at the appropriate place.

CM stands disposed of.

Main case

Admittedly, the benefits of the revised salary have been paid vide demand draft dated 10.12.2018 and on 06.07.2019, though he had retired on 30.04.2013. Therefore, the petitioner would be entitled for interest @ 8% per annum for the delay period on the payment.

The said amount be calculated and the details be furnished by filing an affidavit accordingly, by the respondents and payment be made on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 04.02.2020.”

Today, an affidavit has been filed by the petitioner in which, it has been stated that the petitioner has received the amount alongwith interest but his grievance with regard to the one increment, for which he was entitled, has not been extended to him though, he had already submitted a representation with regard to the said grievance with the General Manager, UCO Bank.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such but, a direction be issued to the respondents to pass an appropriate order on the representation filed by the petitioner raising the plea for the grant of increment.

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly states that in case any representation is received by the respondents, as being stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the same will be considered in accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed on the same within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such with liberty to the respondents to pass an appropriate order on the representation of the petitioner, in case the same has been received by the respondents as undertaken by them hereinbefore.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

04.02.2020

JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------|
| <i>aarti</i> 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |