

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16804 of 2020
Date of decision 12.10.2020

Gurpreet Singh and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of direction to respondent No. 1 for stay of impugned order dated 22.01.2020 (P-1) and warrants of possession dated 28.08.2020 (P-7) till the pendency of the revision petition.

Learned counsel submits that against impugned order dated 22.01.2020 (P-1), the petitioners had already filed revision petition before respondent No. 1 but the private respondents are bent upon to carry out the possession proceedings as per Sanad Taksim issued by respondent No. 4. The sanad taksim has already been challenged by the petitioners before respondent No. 1 bearing ROR NO. 495-2020 and the same is fixed for preliminary hearing/staying of 18.11.2010 and further for staying the execution and operation of sanad taksim dated 22.01.2020 (P-1) and warrants of possession dated 28.08.2020 (P-7).

Learned counsel submits that the stay application of the petitioners is pending for 18.11.2020.

Keeping in view the fact that police help had already been

provided to private respondents, vide order dated 28.08.2020 (Annexure P-7), this petition at this stage is being disposed of by giving direction to respondent No. 1 to pass speaking order on the stay application on 18.11.2020 and till that date, operation of impugned order dated 22.01.2020 (P-1) shall remain stayed.

12.10.2020

G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No