

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.31760 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision.18.12.2020**

Ranjodh Singh		...Petitioner
	Vs	
State of Punjab		...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.125 dated 19.08.2020 registered under Section 61(1) of the Punjab Excise Act registered at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda.

2. By an order dated 15.10.2020 while noting the contention of the petitioner that he undertakes not to indulge in such activities in future and no other criminal case of such nature is pending against him, this Court had granted interim bail to the petitioner and directed him to join investigation.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Balbir Singh submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation but he misused his concession of bail, which had been allowed to him in FIRs that had been registered against him under NDPS Act.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. In view of the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation,

the instant petition is allowed and the order dated 15.10.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. However, it is made clear that in case there is violation of the undertaking furnished by the petitioner that he would not indulge in such activities in future, the respondent-State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

December 18, 2020
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No