

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16547-2020
Date of decision: 25.11.2020

Rajpal Singh Makkar

.....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Rushil Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner approached this Court aggrieved by the transfer order dated 29.09.2020, whereby he was sought to be transferred from the office of the Secretary, Department of Local Bodies, Mehraj, District Bathinda, to the head office at Chandigarh.

The main ground of attack was that the petitioner was slated to retire from service on 30.10.2020 upon attaining the age of superannuation and the policy of the Government generally prohibited the transfer of an employee during the last two years of his service.

By order dated 08.10.2020, this Court took note of the aforestated facts and stayed the impugned order of transfer insofar as it pertained to the petitioner.

In the light of the aforestated interim order, the petitioner would have continued at the same location and retired from service on 30.10.2020. The cause in this writ petition, insofar as the petitioner's transfer under the impugned order dated 29.09.2020, therefore does not survive for consideration on merits at this stage.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of making the interim order absolute. The respondent authorities shall treat the petitioner as having retired from service at the location that he was serving pursuant to the stay order granted in this writ petition.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

25.11.2020

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no