

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31534 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.10.2020

Harpreet Singh @ Ajay and another

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Sandeep Wadhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

(Presence marked through video conference).

Petition herein is under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 219 dated 15.07.2020 registered under Sections 307, 336, 365, 452, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act 1959, at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant Gurvinder Singh wherein he has stated that injured Balwinder Singh told him that on 13.07.2020, petitioner along with others trespassed into his house and gave injuries to him. He further submits that complainant made a statement on the basis of hearsay as he was not present at the spot.

3. He further submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. Though they have been named in the FIR, neither there is any specific allegation against them nor they were armed with any deadly weapon. The firearm injury is attributed to co-accused Sajjan Singh.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail plea. He argues that petitioner along with their co-accused inflicted grievous injuries on Balwinder Singh with the common intention to eliminate him.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned State counsel as well.
6. Keeping in view the gravity of offence, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
7. Dismissed.

OCTOBER 07, 2020
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No