

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16449 of 2020
Date of Decision: 07.10.2020**

Rama Krishna Rice & General Mills and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1]. Notice of motion.

[2]. Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, to
accept notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2.

[3]. Mr. Vikas Suri, Advocate on behalf of respondent Nos.3, 8 and
9; Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate, on behalf of respondent Nos.4, 6, 11 and 13,
Mr. Triptjeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate on behalf of respondent Nos.5 and 10
put in appearance through Video Conferencing.

[4]. Let requisite number of copies of the paper-book be supplied to
the opposite counsel.

[5]. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage he only
seeks a direction upon the respondents representing Food Corporation of
India to pass speaking orders on the petitioners' representations (Annexures
P-16 to P-18).

[6]. Mr. Vikas Suri, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent
Nos.3, 8 and 9, submits that such respondents were never addressed to his

clients, but were sent by the petitioner to respondent No.2-Director, Food and Civil Supplies as also to the District Manager, Markfed, but not to any authority of the Food Corporation of India.

[7]. Faced with this, Ld. Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to submit identical representation addressed to respondent No.3 within 24 hours. Thereafter, the said Respondent is directed to pass speaking orders upon such representations within a fortnight of their being filed, during which period, no further steps regarding any outstanding recovery from the petitioner shall be taken.

07.10.2020

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No