

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(116)

CWP-16379-2020

Date of Decision: October 06, 2020

G. Priyanka

.. Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sonia Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

On being pointed out that no order, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner, has been passed so far and the petitioner has already raised her grievance to the notice issued by the respondents dated 30.09.2020 (Annexure P-7) by filing the reply vide Annexure P-8, which reply is still pending consideration with the authority, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be allowed to withdraw the present petition at this stage, with liberty to approach the appropriate authority in case, any order is passed by the respondents, based on the show cause notice issued to the petitioner, which cause prejudice to the petitioner, by availing appropriate remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

October 06, 2020
harsha/naresh.k

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No