

210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 31389 of 2020

Date of decision : October 12, 2020

(Through Video Conferencing)

Devanand

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present:- Mr.Sandeep Singal , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG., Haryana.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J (oral).

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No. 215 dated 31.5.2020 under Sections 304-B, 498-A and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR in question on the basis of totally baseless and fabricated allegations. He further contends that the suicide note left behind by the deceased reveal that she has not held anybody responsible for her untimely death. He further contends that infact the petitioner had solemnized marriage with the deceased against the wishes of her family, as a result of which she was under depression and precisely for this reason she ended her life.

Learned State counsel, on the other hand, while opposing the grant of regular bail to the petitioner has controverted the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and has in fact submitted that there are specific allegations levelled against the petitioner by the deceased, which reflect that she was not being treated well by him and his family. He on instructions from ASI Arvind has submitted that only final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has been presented in the court and charges are likely to be framed in the near future.

Heard.

On a perusal of the FIR in question serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner, which prima facie find corroboration from the suicide note left behind by the deceased wife, who admittedly died within one year of their marriage.

In view of the above, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail. The instant petition is dismissed. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 12, 2020
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No