

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12370-2017

Date of Decision:-25.02.2020.

Gurpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, for
respondent No.1.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

No representation for the petitioner, despite the matter being
passed over.

Mr. Aman Sharma, learned counsel for respondent No.2-Punjab
State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, on instructions from Mr.
Devinder Singh, Senior Assistant, PUNSUP, would inform this Court that
the issue stands settled by the decision dated 22.01.2019 passed by this
Court in *CWP-2099-2017*, titled **'Kabul Singh and others Vs. State of
Punjab and another'**, and batch.

Perusal of the notice of motion order dated 31.05.2017 passed
in this writ petition reflects that the petitioner herself relied upon the

aforestated writ petition, viz., **CWP-2099-2017**. By the decision dated 22.01.2019 passed therein, the respondent-Corporation was required to abide by the order dated 09.05.2017 of the Chief Minister of the State of Punjab and follow the due process for dispensing with the services of the candidates who were selected on the strength of the selection process which thereafter proved to be tainted.

Mr. Aman Sharma, learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation, would inform this Court that in terms of the aforestated order, an order terminating the services of the petitioner was passed after following the due procedure but in the light of the interim order passed in a later litigation, pending before this Court as on date, the petitioner is being continued in service.

In any event, given the later developments, the cause in this writ petition, as framed, no longer survives for consideration on merits. That is perhaps the reason why the petitioner and her learned counsel are not evincing any interest in this case.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

February 25, 2020.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.