

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.15783 of 2016
Date of Decision: 04.02.2020**

Sangita Devi and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr. Leela Dhar, Advocate,
for Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court seeking direction to the respondents to pay them salary during vacation period and to allow them to continue till regular appointments are made. They are further seeking grant of minimum of the regular pay scale as per letter dated 05.02.2010 (Annexure P-6) issued by the UGC.

Pursuant to the advertisement (Annexure P-1) for the Sessions 2013 and 2014-15, petitioners were appointed as Lecturers on contract basis. Copy of experience certificate of one of the petitioners is attached as Annexure P-2. In para No.6 of the petition, details of the appointments of the petitioners as Extension Lecturers has been given. Petitioners are seeking direction that they should not be replaced by another set of contractual employees.

Upon notice, short reply dated 10.04.2018 on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 8 has been filed, wherein reference has been made

to the Government letter dated 20.07.2017, whereby a decision has been taken not to relieve the eligible Extension Lecturers during vacation period. It has also been decided, vide letter dated 22.03.2018 that remuneration of Rs.23,500/- will be paid to the ineligible Lecturers as per UGC norms and eligible Lecturers have been allowed remuneration of Rs.25,000/- per month. The eligible Lecturers will be allowed to continue till the availability of regular selected candidates. However, ineligible Extension Lecturers will liable to be replaced either through eligible Extension Lecturers or on the availability of regular selected Lecturers.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, informs that till date, the petitioners are continuing to work and the respondents are bound by the reply dated 10.04.2018 filed in Court.

In view of the stand taken by the respondents in their reply dated 10.04.2018, this petition is disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

04.02.2020
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No