

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

**CRM-M-31281-2020 (O&M)
Date of Order:07.10.2020**

Virender Singh Grewal

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the petitioner with the following substantive prayers:-

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the present petition may kindly be allowed by directing the official respondents to look into the matter by deciding the representation dated 25.04.2019 (Annexure P-2) as the petitioner has been cheated by the private respondent no.4 having conspiracy with other co-accused persons and in spite of the detailed representation (Annexure p-2) and the affidavits of the employees of the firm of the accused/private respondent no.4, no action has been taken against private respondent no.4 as respondent no.4 has politically motivated person and having deep roots in the politics as well as in the police department.

It is further prayed that since the official respondents in spite of detailed representation failed to take any serious steps towards the demand of the petitioner, therefore, the official respondents may be directed to decide the detailed representation as pending with them as Annexure P-2 dated 25.04.2019 with the present petition.

It is further prayed that since the private respondent no.4 is having deep roots in the politics as well as in the police department and only this is the reason why the FIR has not been lodged against him, therefore, if this Hon'ble Court deems fit and appropriate then investigation of the case after

registering the FIR ma kindly be given to some independent agency or the officials not below the rank of Superintendent of Police, so that fair and impartial investigation can be done in the present case.

With further prayer that life and liberty of the petitioner may kindly be protected keeping in view the threat perceptions given from the hands of private respondent no.4.”

At the outset, it must be noticed that the petitioner for same cause filed a previous petition i.e. CRM-M-29624 of 2019, which was disposed of on 20.08.2019, with the following order:-

“After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to avail other legal remedies available to the petitioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.”

It is apparent from the reading of the petition that there were certain monitory transactions and the petitioner alleges that respondent no.4 has cheated him.

Be that as it may.

The petitioner has an equally efficacious alternative remedy of filing a criminal complaint as well as civil suit.

Still further, the first petition filed by the petitioner for similar relief was disposed of by granting liberty to avail other legal remedies.

Instead of availing alternative remedy, the present petition has been filed. In the facts of the case, this court does not find it proper to issue any directions in exercise of its power under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

October 07, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No