

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-31366-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : 09.10.2020.

Manjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

CRM No.25170 of 2020

This application has been filed for adding the offence under Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act in the head note as well as in the petition.

Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner contends that inadvertently, offence under Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act has not been mentioned while filing the petition and prays for addition of the same.

Heard through video conferencing. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and offence under Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act is added in the head note as well as in the petition.

Registry is directed to carry out necessary modification/addition.

Main case

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.75 dated 28.06.2020, under Sections 384, 388, 419, 420, 166-A, 506, 120-B IPC, Sections 67, 67-A of the IT Act and Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act registered at

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that she had developed relations with the complainant and later on, she along with other accused had tried to blackmail him. He further contends that the petitioner is herself a victim as she had been entrapped by the complainant. He also contends that the petitioner is a single lady with 06 year old child and is in custody for over 03 months. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Gurbakshish Singh, contends that a sum of ₹3 lacs was recovered from the petitioner. He also contends that although challan has been filed but charges are yet to be framed.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 03 months; she is a lady with a minor child; she is not involved in any other case; the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 09, 2020
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No