

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.8000 of 2020

Date of Decision: October 06, 2020

Pushpa Devi and another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present: Mr.Virender Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ARCHANA PURI, J.(ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing in the light of COVID-19 pandemic.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners Pushpa Devi and Rashid Khan to seek protection on account of marriage having solemnized by them on 01.10.2020.

In this petition, it is averred that both the petitioners are major and are residing within the jurisdiction of this Court. To so substantiate the factum of age, copies of Aadhar Card of both the petitioners have been annexed with the petition as Annexures P-1 and P2 respectively. Even to so substantiate the factum of marriage, copy of marriage certificate has been annexed with the petition, which is Annexure P-3. Further to so substantiate their claim, joint affidavit of both the petitioners has been annexed with the petition. However, their marriage was not acceptable to the private

-2-

respondent nos. 4 to 7, who are parents and brothers of petitioner no.1 respectively. Now, they have extended threat to their lives, relating to which petitioner no.1 has also filed representation dated 01.10.2020 (Annexure P-6) before Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of the assertions, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to look into the matter and also to consider the representation dated 01.10.2020, copy whereof has been placed on record as Annexure P-6, and if they find that there is genuine apprehension of danger to the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners by private respondents no.4 to 7, who are stated to be the parents and brother of petitioner no.1, then they are directed to take appropriate steps to ensure their protection, in the fitness of the circumstances.

However, these observations of mine are circumscribed only for the purposes of disposal of the present criminal writ petition without prejudice to the rights of the parties, to be adjudicated in any civil or criminal proceedings qua their age, factum of marriage and validity thereof.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

06.10.2020
dinesh

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned? Yes
Whether reportable? Yes / No