

Gurkirat Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Amneet Kondal

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the Petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondent for willful and intentional disobedience of order, Annexure P/1 dated 08.09.2020 in CWP No.13793 of 2020, in case titled as 'Gurkirat Singh v. State of Punjab and Ors.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order (Annexure P-1) dated 08.09.2020, CWP No.13793 of 2020, was dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib for protection of his life and liberty with a direction that in case the police officials on the basis of threat perception found that there was reasonable threat to the petitioner, then appropriate action be taken in accordance with law, but that despite lapse of sufficient period of time, needful had not been done till date.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Aditya Sharda, Asstt. AG, Punjab, who is present, accepts

notice on behalf of respondent and on instructions from the respondent states that the needful has been done by recording the statement of the petitioner and that appropriate action as warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case would be taken in accordance with law.

6. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

7. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is ***disposed of*** as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.10.2020
'Rajneesh-Amit'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No