

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-2089-2020

Date of Decision: 13.10.2020

Amrik Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Virender Kumar Dahiya

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the Petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this Court dated 07.09.2020, in CWP No.13753 of 2020, in case titled as Amrik Singh vs. State of Haryana and others.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 07.09.2020, CWP No.13753 of 2020, was disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the Director General, State Transport, Haryana and the same was ordered to be decided in accordance with the transfer policy by passing a speaking order, within two weeks from the date of receipt of such representation, but despite the representation having been submitted by the petitioner on 17.09.2020, needful has not been done till date.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Sumit Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of

the respondent and on the basis of instructions from the respondent, states that needful could not be done due to circumstances prevailing on account of Covid-19 pandemic but in case one week more time is granted, needful would be done and orders passed on the representation, would be conveyed to the petitioner.

6. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not calling for any orders except for directing the respondent to decide representation dated 17.09.2020, in accordance with law, within one week from today and convey the decision in respect thereto, to the petitioner within three days thereafter. However, it is made clear that in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.10.2020

'Amit-Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No