

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

230

**CRWP No. 7998 of 2020
Date of decision : 29.10.2020**

Gagandeep Kaur and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. B.S.Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S.Multaini, Asst. A.G. Punjab
for the respondents No. 1 to 3.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J. (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 25 years, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that they performed marriage on 23.09.2020 as per Sikh rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondent No. 4 and they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at his instance.

Vide order dated 06.10.2020, while directing issuance of notice of motion, respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala was directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 29.09.2020 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

Notice was issued to respondent No. 4 but the same has not been received back served or otherwise.

Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Rajesh Kumar Chibber, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Circle Nabha, District Patiala on behalf of respondents No. 1, 2 and 3 has been filed through e-mail print out of which is taken on record.

Learned State Counsel has submitted that in compliance with order dated 06.10.2020 statement of respondent No. 4- Jeet Singh (father of petitioner No.1) was recorded by the Police who has stated that he had no objection to the marriage of the petitioners. Thereupon statements of the petitioners were also recorded who submitted that they no longer had any threat to their life and liberty.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty to file fresh petition in case of any such threat in future.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty to the petitioners to file fresh petition in case of any such threat and also to make appropriate representation for protection of their life and liberty to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala who shall in the eventuality of making of any such representation take appropriate action for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners in accordance with law.

29.10.2020

Rajeev (rvs)

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No