

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

**CRWP No. 7999 of 2020
Date of decision : 29.10.2020**

Jashanpreet Kaur and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. S.K.Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Petitioners in person through
video conferencing

Mr. H.S.Multaini, Asst. A.G. Punjab
for the respondents No. 1 to 3.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J. (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 19 and 26 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that they performed marriage on 29.09.2020 as per Sikh rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 6 and they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notices were issued to respondents No. 4 and 5 but have not been received back served or otherwise while notice issued to respondent No. 6 has been received back with the report that address is incomplete.

Vide order dated 06.10.2020, while directing issuance of notice of motion to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot was directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 29.09.2020

(Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

Learned State Counsel has submitted that in compliance with order dated 06.10.2020 statement of respondent No. 5- Sukhpinder Singh (father of petitioner No.1) was recorded by the Police who has stated that he had no objection to the marriage of the petitioners. Thereupon statements of the petitioners were also recorded who submitted that there was no longer any threat to their life and liberty.

In compliance with order dated 06.10.2020, petitioners are present in person before this Court through video conferencing and they have stated that now they do not have any threat of harm to their life and liberty.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty to file fresh petition in case of any such threat in future.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty to the petitioners to file fresh petition in case of any such threat and also to make appropriate representation for protection of their life and liberty to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot who shall in the eventuality of making of any such representation take appropriate action for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners in accordance with law.

29.10.2020

Rajeev (rvs)

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No