

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

109

CRWP No.7933-2020.

Date of Decision: 05.10.2020.

Danial Masih

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate for petitioner.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been moved seeking issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as well as his labour/workmen from unscrupulous activities of respondents No.5 to 15 with further direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to take cognizance of complaints/representations dated 21.09.2020 (Annexures P/2 to P/4).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has already made representations dated 21.09.2020 to respondents No.2 to 4— Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar and Station House Officer, Police Station Khilchian, Amritsar, copies of which are available on the file as Annexures P/2 to P/4, but still no action has been taken.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

At the asking of Court, Mr. A.A. Pathak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. Complete copy of paper book has been supplied to him.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No.2 to 4—Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar and Station House Officer, Police Station Khilchian, Amritsar, to look into and decide representations dated 21.09.2020 (Annexures P/2 to P/4) in accordance with law by passing speaking order and that too within three weeks positively from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

05.10.2020

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No