

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2086-2020

Date of Decision: 13.10.2020

M/s Brar Bus Service Regd. Jagraon District Ludhiana

...Petitioner

vs.

Arvind Kumar and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the Petition under Sections 2(b) read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating action against the respondent for willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this Court dated 05.11.2019, in CWP No.32079 of 2019, in case titled as M/s Brar Bus Service vs. State of Punjab and others.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 05.11.2019, CWP No.32079 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the competent authority to consider and decide legal notice Annexure P/9 dated 30.09.2019, within a period of sixty days to be counted from the date of the legal notice, but despite lapse of sufficient period of time, needful has not been done till date.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and on the basis of instructions received from the respondents,

states that needful could not be done due to circumstances prevailing on account of Covid-19 pandemic but now the meeting of the competent authority was scheduled to be held on 15.10.2020 and in case four weeks more time was granted, needful would be done and orders passed on the legal notice, would be conveyed to the petitioner.

6. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not calling for any orders except for directing the respondents to decide the claim as made in the legal notice dated 30.09.2020, in accordance with law, within four weeks from today and convey the decision in respect thereto, to the petitioner within one week thereafter. However, it is made clear that in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.10.2020

'Amit-Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No