

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 7918 of 2020 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 05.10.2020**

Jeeto Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. R. K. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.
(Presence marked through video conference)

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, a single divorcee mother, having a minor daughter from her wedlock, is before this Court seeking protection of her life and liberty, feeling threatened from the private respondents, particularly respondent No.5.

2. The pleaded case of the petitioner is that respondent No.5 had earlier entered into an adoption deed with the petitioner whereby, his biological son was adopted by the petitioner. Pursuant thereto, the petitioner had full faith and trust in respondent No.5 as well as his biological son adopted by her. And accordingly, she is stated to have transferred her agricultural land in the name of adopted son. The petitioner pleads that her trust and faith has been totally betrayed by the evil designs of respondent No.5, which became clearer, when he took away adopted son after the transfer of the aforesaid agricultural land in his name.

3. The petitioner is stated to be currently residing in a 10 marla house owned by her and is in constant fear because of the threatening behavior

of respondent No.5 for not transferring the said house also in the name of the adopted son.

4. In the aforesaid premise, the petitioner also submitted a complaint/representation dated 13.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) and since no action on the same has been taken till date, she has been forced to file the present petition.

5. Notice of motion.

6. Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, who has joined proceedings on service of advance copy of the petition appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

7. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

8. Without commenting on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mukerian to look into the complaint/representation and ascertain/verify the threat perception of the petitioner. If warranted, necessary steps would be taken to protect her life and liberty.

9. As regards, the other allegations, contained in the petition, petitioner is at liberty to seek appropriate alternative remedy, as may be advised/permissible in law.

OCTOBER 05, 2020
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No