

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 31494 of 2020
Date of decision: 07.10.2020.**

Jaswant Singh @ Gonglu

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 154 dated 16.05.2020, under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 ('Excise Act' - for short), registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and there is no independent witness to the recovery. He also contends that the petitioner was also falsely implicated in two other cases under the Excise Act and he is on bail in those cases.

Heard.

The allegations against the petitioner are that upon receipt of secret information, raid was conducted at the house of the petitioner and 75 litres of 'lahan' was recovered. The petitioner is also stated to have been involved in two other cases pertaining to the Excise Act, therefore, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

07.10.2020
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No