

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16199 of 2020
Date of Decision: 05.10.2020**

Surender Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr. Nishant Arya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since
the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

The petitioner prays for Certiorari to quash the action of the respondents, for his claim for promotion from the post of Fisheries Officer to the post of District Fisheries Officer (class II) or equivalent, has not been considered, even though persons junior to him have been approved for the said promotion.

The positive case set out by the petitioner is that he is fully eligible to be considered for promotion to the post of District Fisheries Officer. However, the Departmental Promotion Committee, in its meeting held on 8.6.2020 (Annexure P-1), though approved 10 Fisheries Officer for promotion, including Smt. Asha Hooda (respondent No.4), who is junior to the petitioner, but yet ignored the petitioner's claim. Aggrieved with this, he had represented to the respondents and they have even been served with a legal notice dated 7.9.2020 (Annexure P-10). But to no avail.

Notice, confined to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

AMIT KUMAR
2020.10.05 15:03
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and submits for the legal notice/representation submitted by the petitioner is pending consideration, let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and deal with the concerns raised by him and pass appropriate orders, within a specified time.

In the wake of the above as also the statement made by the learned State counsel, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent authorities to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as also his grievances/concerns, as set out in his legal notice (ibid) and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law. Let the needful be done within two weeks from today. And if necessary, after affording an opportunity to all the stakeholders.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on merits of the case of either party.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

05.10.2020

AK Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No