

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7889-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.10.2020

Anu Raj and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Petitioners in person with
 Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that respondents No. 4 and 5 are the parents of petitioner No. 1.

Learned State counsel submits that ASI Duni Chand, Investigation Officer, has recorded the statement of respondent No. 4 wherein he stated that he had no objection to the marriage of the petitioners. As per the statement of respondent No. 4, there is no threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

At this, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners may be granted liberty to approach the competent authority, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police for redressal of their grievance, if any, in future.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

15.10.2020

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No