

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(1) CWP No.11208 of 2017
Date of decision: 03.03.2020

Sombir ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

AND

(2) CWP No.11296 of 2017
Date of decision: 03.03.2020

Kamal Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 5.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral),

1. This order shall decide CWP No.11208 of 2017 and
CWP No.11296 of 2017, as point in issue in both the cases is identical.

2. In CWP No.11208 of 2017, prayer is for the issuance of a
writ of Certiorari for quashing order Annexure P/5 dated 06.02.2017,

suspending the petitioner from the post of Assistant Lineman while in CWP No.11296 of 2017, prayer is for the issuance of a writ of Certiorari for quashing order Annexure P/6 dated 23.01.2017, suspending the petitioner from the post of Assistant Lineman. Prayer in both the petitions is also for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents especially respondent Nos.3 and 4 to reinstate the petitioners who were appointed as Assistant Lineman in terms of appointment letters Annexure P/4 and P/5, dated 26.10.2012 and 27.10.2012, respectively. Prayer in both the petitions is also for the issuance of a writ of Prohibition restraining the respondents from taking further action in the matter.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have already filed reply to the show cause notices Annexure P/6 (colly) dated 20.03.2017/02.05.2017 as well as Annexure P/7 dated 20.03.2017 respectively and that the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petitions are disposed of by directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to take a decision in respect thereto in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter including by getting the qualification certificates of the petitioners re-verified from the issuing authorities.

4. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 5 fairly states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. In view of the position as noted above, the writ petitions are disposed of by directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to take a decision on the show cause notices in the light of replies filed by the petitioners

and after getting the qualification certificates of the petitioners re-verified from the issuing authorities.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

03.03.2020.
rajesh.k.khurana

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |