

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10985 of 2017

Date of decision : February 3, 2020

Kamla Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr. Minderjeet yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been regularized w.e.f. 6.1.2017 whereas juniors of the petitioner have been regularized much prior to the petitioner on the post of sweeper. He refers to the letter dated 20.8.2015 (Annexure P-7) whereby persons junior to the petitioner have been regularized with immediate effect and petitioner is seeking regularization at par with the juniors of the petitioner.

On notice of motion being issued, reply has been filed by respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the State had placed on record order dated 15.5.2018 (Annexure R-1) whereby the petitioner Kamla Devi has been regularized against the post of class-IV at Govt.Sen.Secondary School, Hudina w.e.f. 5.5.2016 from the date of junior part time employees have been regularized.

In paragraph 4 of the reply, it is stated that persons who

were regularized as per order dated 20.8.2015 have been regularized w.e.f. 5.5.2016 and the petitioner has also been regularized from the same date and the supplementary appointment order is annexured as Annexure R-1. No replication has been filed to this written statement.

This petition is dismissed keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has been regularized w.e.f. 5.5.2016, the date when persons junior to her were regularized as per order dated 20.8.2015 (Annexure P-7).

The petitioner is at liberty to claim all consequential benefits at par with the persons junior to the petitioner.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

February 3, 2020
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No