

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10980-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 10, 2020

SURESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. G.P. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J.

The petitioner has challenged order dated 20.04.2017 (Annexure P-2), passed by the District Magistrate, Panipat, prohibiting the operation of poultry farms within 500 meters of the residential zone.

It is pleaded that the petitioner's poultry farm does not fall within district Panipat and is situated within district Karnal, therefore, no action can be taken against the present petitioner on the basis of impugned order dated 20.04.2017 (Annexure P-2).

As per the reply filed on behalf of respondents no.4 & 5 through Naib Tehsildar, Madlauda, District Panipat, it is mentioned in para 4 of the preliminary submissions and para 13 of the reply on merits that no action is being taken against the premises/poultry farm of the present petitioner, pursuant to order dated 20.04.2017 (Annexure P-2), which in any case is confined to district Panipat.

In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the parties submit that this writ petition is rendered infructuous.

Petition is accordingly disposed of as infructuous.

10.02.2020

Sunil

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No