

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.7881 of 2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.11.2020**

**Shami Rani and another Petitioners
Vs
State of Punjab and others Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Bandana Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners have preferred this petition for issuance of necessary direction to official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and personal liberties from being invaded by the private respondents.

Notice of motion was issued on 01.10.2020. Respondent No.2 was directed to look into the grievance of the petitioners as set out in the petition and the representation dated 28.09.2020.

In response to the direction issued by this Court, an inquiry has been conducted by the police and their statements

have been recorded during inquiry, wherein they have stated that they have no threat to their lives and personal liberties at the hands of their family members and relatives and they are living happily as husband and wife and they do not want any police protection. In case, they require such police protection in future, then they will inform the police. Statements of respondents No.5 and 7 were also recorded. Respondent No.5 has stated in her statement that respondent No.6 is her brother-in-law (devar) in the brotherhood and he has gone to Dubai for about 2 years. Statements of respondents No.5 and 7 are also to the effect that they have no objection against the marriage of the petitioners and they will not interfere in their married life.

In view of statements of the parties recorded during inquiry by the police, this petition is disposed of, however with the observation that in case, the petitioners still feel any apprehension qua their lives and personal liberties in future, they would be at liberty to approach respondent No.2 with a representation. On receipt of such representation, the respondent No.2 would act in accordance with law.

16.11.2020*Prince***(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No