

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16188-2020

Date of decision: 5.10.2020

Rattan Institute of Technology and Management

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: Mr.Rana Gurtej Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Sandeep S. Mann, Addl.AG, Haryana

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Short prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to the respondents to take appropriate action on the appeal (Annexure P3) preferred by the petitioner against the order/ letter dated 17.7.2020 (Annexure P1), which is lying pending adjudication with respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3- Chairman, Haryana State Board for Technical Education, Bays No.7-12, Sector 4, Panchkula to consider and decide the appeal (Annexure P3) preferred by the petitioner against the order / letter dated 17.7.2020 (Annexure P1) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to the learned State counsel.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Chairman, Haryana State Board for Technical Education, Bays No.7-12, Sector 4, Panchkula to consider and decide the appeal (Annexure P3) preferred by the petitioner against the order / letter dated 17.7.2020 (Annexure P1) in accordance with law within four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to it, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to it, within a period of four weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

[VIVEK PURI]
JUDGE

5.10.2020
gsv

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No