

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115)

CWP no.15837 of 2020

Date of Decision: 30.09.2020

Gurpreet Singh Chahal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

All the cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent no.3 to consider and decide the applications both dated 04.05.2020 (Annexures P-6 and P-7), filed by him for the grant of a stage carriage route permit, in response to the advertisement inviting applications for the grant of such permits on 'Rural Link Roads and District Roads', which applications have not been considered and decided despite legal notices dated 24.08.2020 and 08.09.2020 (Annexures P-8 and P-9) got issued by the petitioner (as contended).

Without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notices, as also the aforesaid applications of the petitioner, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, by passing a detailed

speaking order.

If a completely detailed speaking order has already been passed by the competent authority, then only a short order would now be need to be passed, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).

30.09.2020
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No