

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.104

CRWP No.7849 of 2020

Date of Decision: 30th September, 2020.

Poonam & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Parmender Bhukal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl.AG, Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

By way of instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-5) on 27.09.2020 to respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Jind, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.Ranvir Singh Arya, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts the notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-5).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Jind, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-5) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law. It is clarified here that nothing contained in this order shall be construed to be a shield to the petitioners against any action already taken or intended to be taken by the competent Authority/ person in respect of the matter as narrated in the representation Annexure P-5 and permissible under any relevant provisions of law.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

30.09.2020.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable?	Yes/No