

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.208

**CRM-M-30573-2020
Date of Decision: 06.10.2020**

RAVI @ NAVNEET

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present: Mr. Anoop Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARCHANA PURI, J.

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing in the light of COVID-19 pandemic.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner, namely Ravi @ Navneet, thereby making prayer for grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.168 dated 07.04.2020, under Sections 323, 346 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station City Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh.

The aforesaid FIR has been registered at the instance of the prosecutrix. The version of the prosecutrix is that she was married with one Ganesh, but however, accused-Ravi (Navneet), confined her at his place and forcibly assaulted her.

It is now submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that compromise has been effected between the parties and the complainant now wants to stay in live-in relationship with the present petitioner, relating to which an affidavit has already been filed by the complainant. As such, the

petitioner seeks grant of regular bail.

Heard on the bail petition.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State Counsel submits that even though, at first instance, FIR was registered under Section 323, 346 and 506 IPC, but however, challan has been presented and addition of offences under Section 366 and 376 IPC, has been made. Charge has yet not been framed. In these circumstances, the compromise allegedly effected between the parties, is a matter, which is to be appraised at a later stage, after the statements of the witnesses is recorded.

In the bail petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has not made mention about presentation of the challan, or addition of offences under Section 366 and 376 IPC. Since, the offences under Section 366 and 376 IPC have been added, therefore, the plea of the compromise, so reached between the parties and that too with the complainant, who is a married woman, is a matter, which can be adjudicated at later stage. Charge has yet not been framed. Considering the stage of the case and the accusations, at this stage, no case is made out for grant of bail to the petitioner. As such, the petition is hereby dismissed.

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

06.10.2020
Himanshu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No