

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31838-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 08, 2020

Dr. Muneesh Kumar alias Dr. Munish Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner is seeking direction to respondent No. 3 to immediately close Inquiry No. 5 of 2016 and also to official respondent Nos. 2, 5 and 7 to immediately close down the inquiry, if any pending with them, against the petitioner in view of request and affidavit dated 15.02.2019, Annexures P-2 and P-3, of respondent No. 4, and also on the basis of Annexures P-16, P-17 and P-18.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would submit that he has preferred an application to the DGP, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab for closure of inquiry in view of the affidavit given by the complainant. He further submitted that the petitioner is aggrieved against the inaction of the

respondent-department in closing the inquiry initiated against him by respondent No. 3. He would also contend that in fact there is an affidavit available on the record of the complainant himself wherein he himself asked for closing the inquiry.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab appearing through the medium of video conferencing accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in fact the complainant has suffered another affidavit wherein he has submitted that he would like the inquiry to continue.

In such a situation, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the matter, leaving it open to the petitioner to approach the respondent-department again with a representation, which the respondent-department would consider in accordance with law.

Disposed of accordingly.

October 08, 2020

seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes.
Whether reportable	No.