

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M No.30543 of 2020
Date of decision: 30.09.2020

Jai Hind Kumari Mehta and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
*(the aforesaid presence is being recorded through video
conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in Virtual Court).*

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioners – Jai Hind Kumari Mehta and two others have jointly filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer to direct the respondents No.1 and 2 to inquire the matter in question related to case FIR No.507 dated 21.07.2020 under Section 406, 420, 120B IPC and under Section 66 of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 (Annexure P-8) in the light of representations dated 30.07.2020, 05.08.2020 (Annexure P-9 to P-11), 10.04.2019 (Annexure P-12) through any independent investigating agency like CBI, CID, Crime Branch etc., or by constituting Special Investigation Team as the officials of respondent No.3 are having hand in glove with the respondents No. 4 and 5 and to take appropriate legal action against respondents No. 4 and 5, in accordance with law, with a further prayer to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.3 to 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners based on pleadings, submits

that on 30.07.2020 (Annexures P-9 and P-10), a detailed representation was submitted to the respondents No.1 to 3. He further submits that thereafter on 05.08.2020 (Annexure P-11), another representation has been submitted on behalf of petitioner No.1 to the official respondents No. 1 to 3. The prayer of counsel for the petitioners is that for the same/similar grievances as made in the present petition, they have submitted the aforementioned representations to the respondents No. 1 to 3, but till date no action has been taken.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3-State. Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the complainant, however, submits that he has no objection, if the matter is investigated through any Independent Agency like CBI etc. He, however, submits that the prayer made by the petitioners for decision on the representations as aforementioned is opposed.

In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1, to consider the representations and decide the same in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

30.09.2020/ *jyoti3*

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No