

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15904 of 2020.

Decided on:- September 30, 2020.

Harjeet Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.*

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

The petitioner has filed this civil writ petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction, especially in the nature of mandamus so as to direct the respondent No.4 to sanction the mutation in favour of the petitioner for land measuring 8 Kanal bearing Khewat/Khatoni No.85/98, Khasra No.180/143 and land measuring 64 Kanal 02 Marla bearing Khewat/Khatoni No.85/98, Khasra No.180/143 situated at village Hundewala, Tehsil Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar as per sale deed No.11601 dated 16.03.2018 (Annexure P-1) and sale deed No.11711 dated 20.03.2018 (Annexure P-2) and to further take action on the application dated 11.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) within some specified time.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Rule 7.32 of Punjab Land Record Manual to contend that when the sale deeds are executed, it is incumbent upon the Registrar and Sub-Registrar to submit the particulars of

all registered deeds which purport to transfer agricultural land and accordingly, the mutation is required to be sanctioned. However, in the case in hand, the petitioner has submitted representation dated 11.06.2019 (Annexure P-4) and representation dated 11.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) to the respondent No.3 i.e. Tehsildar, Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar, but no action thereof has been taken.

He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondent No.3 to consider the aforesaid representations (Annexures P-4 and P-5) in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of this Court, Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

Without making any observation on the claim so projected by the petitioner in the present petition, but considering the fact that the representations (Annexures P-4 and P-5) are statedly pending consideration before respondent No.3, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to decide these representations by passing a speaking order within six months from today.

September 30, 2020

Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No