

NARENDER KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Aditya Partap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)*

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the persons mentioned in Para No.2 of the petition have been detained in a Brick Kiln under the name and style of BKO (Maan Brick works) situated at Village Barwala, Tehsil Ludhiana (East), Police Station Koom Kalan, District Ludhiana. He has already submitted a representation dated 25.09.2020 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.3 but no action has been taken in the matter.

Notice of motion to official respondents.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Copy of the paper-book be supplied to her during the course of the day through email.

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter may be disposed of by giving appropriate directions to respondent No.3 to take action on the representation, expeditiously.

Without making any further observations with regard to the genuineness of the allegations, the petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as projected through the representation dated 25.09.2020 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously in accordance with law.

28.09.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No