

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

224

CRWP-7873-2020

Date of Decision: 15.10.2020.

Simarjeet Kaur and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms.Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral):

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

Petitioners have sought protection of their life and liberty, *inter alia*, taking the plea that they performed the marriage with each other, whereas respondent No.4 is not accepting their marriage and now they are apprehending danger to their life and liberty from respondent No.4. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners performed marriage on 25.09.2020.

Notice of motion was issued in the instant case on 01.10.2020.

As per office report, notice to respondent No.4 could not be issued for want of process fee.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, is directed to consider the representation dated 25.09.2020 (Annexure P/4) and issue necessary orders, as warranted by law, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.4.

However, it is also made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or entitle them for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if they are found involved in the commission of any cognizable offence. In case, the petitioners had committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

October 15, 2020
neenu

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No