

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16134-2020

Date of Decision : 10.11.2020

Sandeep Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Suveer Sheokand, Addl.Advocate General, Punjab.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioner's husband was serving as a Constable in Punjab Police and died in harness on 18.12.2005. Petitioner thereafter was appointed as a Lady Constable on compassionate basis vide appointment letter dated 27.12.2007. Petitioner being the only dependent member of her husband, post his death, was released family pension benefits. Petitioner re-married on 11.02.2012. After performance of her second marriage, petitioner informed the concerned authorities and even submitted the marriage certificate. In spite of such information having been furnished the benefit of family pension was released to the petitioner in her account upto November 2013. Even as per averments on record petitioner had been released

an amount of Rs.2,17,618/- (inclusive of interest) to which she was not entitled to from 11.02.2012 till November 2013.

The precise grievance raised in the instant petition was that in spite of petitioner having deposited back the amount of Rs.2,17,618/- the respondent authorities had issued directions to freeze the salary account of the petitioner. It was contended that in spite of petitioner discharging her duties and responsibilities, salary was not released.

Upon notice of motion having been issued, Mr.Suveer Sheokand, learned Addl.Advocate General, Punjab has informed the Court that the grievance of the petitioner has since been redressed, the salary account of the petitioner has been de-frozen and even the salary has been released.

Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute such factual development.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication in the instant petition and the same is disposed of as infructuous.

10.11.2020
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No