

COCN No.2111 of 2020
Date of Decision: 14.10.2020

Dalbir Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Dhruvan H. Nimbale, IPS

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentionally and deliberately violating the order of this Court (Annexure P-1) dated 20.08.2020, in CRM-M No.23516 of 2020, in case titled as 'Dalbir Singh versus State of Punjab and others'.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order (Annexure P-1) dated 20.08.2020, CRM-M No.23516 of 2020, was disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to look into the complaints / representations dated 25.07.2020 and 01.08.2020 and take appropriate action in respect thereto, in accordance with law but that despite lapse of sufficient period of time, no action in respect thereto has been taken, till date.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Aditya Sharda, Asstt. AG, Punjab, who is present, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and on instructions from the respondent

states that the needful could not be done due to circumstances prevailing on account of Covid-19 pandemic but in case some more time is granted, needful would be done and orders passed in respect thereto, would be conveyed to the petitioner.

6. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is ***disposed of*** as not calling for any orders except for directing the respondent to decide the complaints/representations dated 25.07.2020 and 01.08.2020, in accordance with law, within 03 weeks from today and convey the decision in respect thereto, to the petitioner within 01 week thereafter. However, it is made clear that in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.10.2020
'Rajneesh-Amit'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No