

Nidhi Saroha

Versus

State of Punjab

Present: Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Pushpinder Kaushal, Advocate
for complainant.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID 2019.

By filing this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No. 139 dated 8.8.2020 registered under Section 328 IPC at Police Station Dhakoli, District SAS Nagar.

Notice of motion was issued to State. Meanwhile, petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail and directed to join investigation.

On instructions from SI Satinder Kaur, learned State counsel submitted that petitioner has joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Order dated 29.9.2020 granting interim anticipatory bail to petitioner is made absolute. However petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so by Investigating Agency and shall abide by conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Shri Pushpinder Kaushal, Advocate who appears for husband of petitioner and learned counsel for petitioner are agreeable that in view of fact that they have a minor child aged about 1 year, the dispute between them be referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

In view thereof, parties may appear before Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 16.2.2021 either in person or through video conferencing whichever mode is appropriate by Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

Adjourned to 26.2.2021.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

14.12.2020
sjks