

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

CWP No.13841 of 2016

Date of Decision : 20.01.2020

Sheela Devi

....Petitioner

v/s

U.H.B.V.N.L. Ltd. and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Armaan Midha, Advocate for
Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate
for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to count the work-charge service of the husband of the petitioner for the purpose of pensionary and other benefits in terms of the law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in case titled as '**Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others** versus **Bachan Singh**', 2010(1) RSJ 274.

[2] Learned counsel states that although the petitioner has served a legal notice (Annexure P-2) dated 25.08.2015 but the same has not been decided, till date and in view of certain new facts having come to the notice of the petitioner, she be permitted to withdraw the writ petition, with liberty to file a fresh representation with better particulars.

[3] The prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed to by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

[4] In view of the position noted above, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn, with liberty to file fresh representation with better particulars. In case, any such representation is submitted within a period of 06 weeks from today, respondent No.3 shall consider and decide the same, in accordance with law within a period of 02 months thereafter and communicate the decision to the petitioner within a period of 02 weeks thereafter.

[5] Writ petition ***disposed of*** with the aforementioned directions.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

January 20, 2020
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No