

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

CWP No.16990 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on 04.11.2020

International Institute of Veterinary Education and Research

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present:- Ms.Rukhmani Bobde, Advocate, with
Mr.Himanshu Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G.Haryana.

Mr.Puneet Gupta, Advocate, with
Mr.Ravinder Rana, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(ORAL)

By this petition, petitioner has challenged the fixation of fees for the current year i.e. 2020-21.

The primary contention made by the learned counsel is that earlier a Fee Fixation Committee had considered this matter and had recommended the following fees structure (even though the petitioner is not satisfied with the recommendation also):-

University Quota (in Rs.) Per Annual	Management Quota (in Rs.) Per Annual	NRI quota (in U.S. \$) one time	Security (in Rs.)
1.85 Lakh	3.50 Lakh	60,000	1 Lakh (Refundable)

However, to make matters worse, the Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Mini Secretariat, Sector-17, Chandigarh, had substantially reduced the fees which were recommended even by the Committee and this too without even hearing the petitioner.

Mr.Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G.Haryana states that though he is not in a position to confirm this factual aspect while he has admitted that

a perusal of the order does not indicate that anybody was heard by the Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying

In the circumstances, we have no reason to doubt the stand of the petitioner that in fact the Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying, did not hear the petitioner while taking a decision to reduce the fee recommended by the Fee Fixation Committee. Therefore, we put it to the learned counsel that if at least a fresh order could be passed by the Additional Chief Secretary, after hearing the petitioner (if he so desires) after associating the respondent No.2 which is affiliating this University, learned counsel for the respondents have very fairly agreed that in the circumstances of the case, this would be an equitable solution.

Resultantly, the order (Annexure P-15) is set aside and the Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying is directed to pass a fresh order after hearing the petitioner within a period of two weeks. It has further been agreed that Mr.Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G.Haryana, will fix the appointment of the petitioner with Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Sector-17, Chandigarh, in consultation with their clients.

This petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

04.11.2020
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No