

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7792-2020

Date of decision:-29.9.2020

Priyanka Chauhan and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN**

Present: Mr.Amit Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

...

**H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1 – Priyanka, aged about 22 years has got married with petitioner No.2 – Akash Tanwar, aged about 18 years against wishes of her family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 and 5, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

It is further stated that the petitioners had submitted a written representation before respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Gurugram dated 24.9.2020 seeking protection, copy of the same being Annexure P4 but no action has been taken thereon.

Though it is not a valid marriage since conditions laid down in Section 5 of Hindu Marriage Act are not fulfilled inasmuch as petitioner No.2 is aged less than 21 years but even then nobody can be allowed to cause physical harm to the petitioners and to indulge in illegal activities.

Notice of motion to respondent -State.

Mr.Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Gurugram to look into the representation Annexure P4, assess threat perception to the petitioners and then take suitable action in the matter, if so required.

However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

29.9.2020  
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)  
JUDGE

**Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No**

**Whether reportable : Yes/No**