

(219) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17475 of 2019
Date of decision: 05.02.2020

Uday Veer and Ors.

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and anr.

... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Satnam Sishodia, Advocate for Mr. Ravinder Malik
(Ravi), Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Result of petitioners for the post of Lower Division Clerk against Advt. No.3/2016, Category No.03 for UHBVNL/DHBVNL has been produced in sealed cover in Court today which on opening reveals the petitioners to have secured the following marks:-

Sr. No.	Roll No.	Category D.O.B.	C_Name F_Name	Exam_ Marks 160	Exp_ Marks 16	Viva- Voce 24	Grand Total
1.	1303600825	BCA/... Dob:- 30/06/1990	Narender Kumar Vijay Pal	72	-	12	84
2.	1303600942	SC/... Dob:- 31/10/1981	Manoj Kumar Bishamber Dayal	90	-	10	100
3.	1303600951	BCA/... Dob:- 21/07/1992	Sanjay Jora Singh	84	-	14	98
4.	1303601206	GENERAL/... Dob:- 15/09/1992	Nikhil Sant Lal	102	-	17	119
5.	1303601929	SC/... Dob:- 11/01/1990	Sushama Budh Raj	78	-	14	92
6.	1303602678	GENERAL/... Dob:- 24/04/1995	Deepak Rajender Singh	80	-	8	88

7.	1303603135	GENERAL/... Dob:- 25/09/1989	Sunil Kumar Hoshiyar Singh	88	-	16	104
8.	1303603187	GENERAL/... Dob:- 10/10/1992	Uday Veer Chatter Singh	70	-	20	90
9.	1303603271	SC/... Dob:- 13/05/1993	Dimpal Rani Rajender Singh	56	-	12	68

[2] The marks obtained by the last selected candidate for the post of Lower Division Clerk in the General Category are 113/117 for UHBVNL/DHBVNL whereas marks of last selected candidate in BCA Category are 57 and in SC Category are 79. Accordingly, petitioner Nos.1, 6, 7 and 9 have secured marks lesser than the marks secured by the last selected candidate in their respective categories.

[3] Result of the petitioners as well as cut-off marks of the last selected candidate have been shown to the learned counsel for the petitioners who on perusal states that he does not press the writ petition qua petitioner Nos.1, 6, 7 and 9.

[4] Accordingly, writ petition qua petitioner Nos.1, 6, 7 and 9 is dismissed as not pressed.

[5] Petitioner Nos.2 to 5 and 8 have secured more marks than the last selected candidate in their respective categories. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in the circumstances, writ petition may be disposed of by directing respondents to take follow-up action on the basis of result of the aforementioned petitioners.

[6] Learned Sr. DAG states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioners.

[7] In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondents to take follow-up action qua result of petitioner Nos.2 to 5 and 8 for the post of Lower Division Clerk against

Advt. No.3/2016, Category No.03 of UHBVNL/DHBVNL in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

05.02.2020
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes/No.