

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.30344 of 2020
Date of Decision: 08.10.2020**

HARSHDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi Godara, Advocate and
Mr. Malkiat Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.63 dated 12.03.2020, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 326, 307, 341, 506 IPC & Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(v), 3(2)(va) SC & ST Act at Police Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra.

Co-accused have already been granted regular bail by this Court in CRM-M No.25740 of 2020 (Maninder Singh Sodhi vs. State of Haryana), CRM-M No.25759 of 2020 (Manpreet vs.

State of Haryana), CRM-M No.20017 of 2020 (Gurmukh Singh vs State of Haryana) and CRM-M No.20155 of 2020 (Lovepreet Singh vs. State of Haryana).

The common features of the case have been recorded in the aforesaid orders. The petitioner was not named in the FIR. He was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Manpreet Singh, who has already been granted regular bail vide order dated 11.09.2020 passed in CRM-M No.25759 of 2020.

The aforesaid factual details could not be disputed by learned State counsel.

For the reasons recorded in the aforesaid petitions, the petitioner is also entitled for regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

October 08, 2020
Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No