

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-2053-2020

Date of Decision: 09.10.2020

Neelam Rani and another ...Petitioners

vs.

Satwant Singh ...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Davinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the Petition under Sections 10 / 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India is for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent for not complying with the order of this Court Annexure P/1 dated 10.08.2020, in CWP No.11592 of 2020, in case titled as Neelam Rani and another vs. State of Punjab and others.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 10.08.2020, CWP No.11592-2020, was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide the representation / legal notice / objections dated 22.06.2020, by passing a speaking order within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and to release compensation to the petitioners forthwith, if they were found entitled to the same, but despite lapse of aforementioned period of time, needful had not been done.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and on instructions from the respondent states that in case two

weeks more time is granted, needful would be done and order passed in respect thereto conveyed to the petitioners.

6. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not calling for any orders except directing the respondent to decide the representation / legal notice / objections, within two weeks from today and to convey decision on the same to the petitioner within one week thereafter. However, it is made clear that in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioners would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

09.10.2020

'Amit-Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No