

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.2025 of 2020

Date of Decision : 06.10.2020

Baljit Singh Sehrawat

...Petitioner

Versus

J. Ganesan

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 10, 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for punishing the respondent for willfully disobeying order (Annexure P-1) dated 20.08.2020, passed in CWP No.12265 of 2020 in case titled as 'Baljit Singh Sehrawat versus State of Haryana and others'.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 20.08.2020, CWP No.12265 of 2020 was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice (Annexure P-2) dated 10.02.2020, in accordance with law within a period of 01 month from the date of order dated 20.08.2020, but that till date no decision has been taken in respect thereto.
4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who is present, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and on instructions of the respondent states that in case 03 weeks' time is granted, needful would be done and orders passed on the claim made by the petitioner in legal notice dated 10.02.2020 conveyed to the petitioner.

6. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, in the light of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any orders except for directing the respondent to pass order on the claim made in the legal notice dated 10.02.2020 within 03 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and to convey the decision taken on the same to the petitioner within the aforementioned period of time.

8. However, it is made clear that in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

October 06, 2020

'Rajneesh-Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>