

224.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7756-2020

Date of decision: 29.10.2020

AMANDEEP KAUR AND ANR.

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Ms. Nisha, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Vide order dated 28.09.2020, notice of motion in the case was issued for 29.10.2020 and in the meanwhile, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Patiala, was directed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurmit Singh, states that petitioner No.1-Amandeep Kaur has made a statement that she does not require any protection. He further states that respondent No.5-Manjit Kaur, who is the mother of petitioner No.1 has also made a statement that she would not interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. A similar statement has been made by the mother of petitioner No.2.

In view of information so given by the learned State counsel, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is dismissed as infructuous.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

29.10.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No