

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.7728 of 2020(O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.09.2020

Sunaina and another

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Amit Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. Petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 23.09.2020 on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners have approached the Senior Superintendent of Police,

Patiala/respondent No.2 by way of representation dated
23.09.2020

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh,
DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents
No.1 to 3.

At this stage, without meaning anything in the context of
validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be
just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the
grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on
merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass
necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings
made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from
proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any
other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within
a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy
of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

September 28, 2020
Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No